From:	Phillip R. Novick
То:	<pre>comments@whiteriverwaterkeeper.org; WaterbodyComments; phillip.novick@sbcglobal.net</pre>
Subject:	Phillip R. Novick Comments re: Draft 2018 303(d) List
Date:	Sunday, September 9, 2018 11:17:13 PM
Attachments:	Public Comments on Arkansas"s Draft 2018 303(d) List of Impaired Waterbodies 2018 303d Comments.pdf

Below are comments submitted by Phillip R. Novick through White River Waterkeeper's public comment form. Please confirm receipt of this submission.

Email address	phillip.novick@sbcglobal.net	
Full Name	Phillip R. Novick	
Mailing Address	8234 Summer Shade Rd Rogers, Ar. 72756	
Your connection to Arkansas waters	I fish and swim in Beaver Lake.	
Has nuisance algae affected your recreation experiences?	No	
How are you affected by Arkansas Water Quality	I am an Arkansas resident. I own property near a river, stream, lake, or spring. I recreate on or near a river, lake, or stream.	
Habitat Degradation		
Have declines to physical habitat impacted your recreation experiences?	No	
Please describe your observations of water quality degradation due to changes in habitat.	In reading lately about the beautiful Buffalo river I am very concerned about the quality in water in the Buffalo.	
How are you impacted by water quality degradation attributed to habitat declines?	N\A	
Categorical Determinations		

Do you believe in state-led local approaches?	No
Do you think it is important to ensure federal regulations are met when proposing a plan to restore significant state and federal natural resources, such as the Buffalo National River?	Yes
Do you believe it is important for any plan to include both point and nonpoint sources of pollution?	Yes
At this time, do you believe ADEQ should follow the Clean Water Act and federal regulations to prioritize impaired waterbodies for a TMDL until they have provided adequate recommended documentation (2016 IRG) and met all legal requirements (40 CFR 130.7)?	Yes
Federal Requirements	
Do you believe ADEQ should consider peer- reviewed literature, tax-payer funded research, expert reports, and agency recommendations to identify and report water quality impairments?	Yes
35% of variable 106 Grant Funding received by the state	ADEQ should be proactive and protective when

each year is dependent on impairment listings. When assessment methodologies are lacking or absent, how should the state proceed with assessment decisions?	making assessment decisions. EPA does not approve Assessment Methodologies, just that whatever rationale is provided for an assessment be scientifically valid. ADEQ employs nearly a dozen biologists and ecologists in the Water Division. I trust that they can apply themselves to develop a sufficient rationale to justify decisions when defined methodologies are absent.
How strongly do you feel that designated Outstanding National Resource Waters (e.g., Buffalo, Strawberry, Spring, Eleven Point, and Mulberry Rivers) should be allowed to violate water quality standards LESS frequently than channelized streams (aka ditches)?	Very strongly. We have a limited number of waters with ONRW designations in the state. As "The Natural State" we should hold our most protected waters to a higher level of expectation.
When numeric criteria do not exist, and narrative descriptions of water quality standards are in place, how do you think the state should proceed with assessments?	Consider all relevant data and information and take a weight-of-evidence approach to developing a determination. The state must provide a rationale and supporting documentation with assessment decisions. As long as the state is forthcoming and transparent, I believe best professional judgement, supported with scientific evidence, has an appropriate place in this regulatory process.
States are required to develop their lists based on EPA approved Water Quality Standards. Although states may anticipate changes, states are not allowed to incorporate revised criteria until EPA has approved them for Clean Water Act purposes (e.g., development of list of impaired waters). Do	Yes. The EPA approval process ensures water quality standards are backed by defensible science. This is essential for protecting and restoring water quality.

d,

Do you have any scientific reports or studies that you wish to submit to ADEQ to supplement your comment record?

Forward old email to another address with <u>Email Forwarder</u> for Gmail.

This email was sent via the Google Forms Add-on.